**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRAPRADESH**

**AT HYDERABAD**

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE

TWO LTHOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM**

-

**W.P.NO.21517 OF 1999**

-

BETWEEN:

1.S.Murali S/o Yerraiah, aged about 27 years,

2.G Mohana Rao S/o Sitaram, aged about 26 years;

3.K.A.Thrinadha Rao S/o Sanyasi Rao, aged 28 years;

4.Santhoshkumar Panda S/o Premananda Panda, aged 28 years;

5.N.B.T.Sundara Rao S/o Ramalingeswara Rao, aged 27 years;

6.D.Gopalakrishna S/o Suryanaran, aged about 28 years;

7.Ch.Srinivasulu S/o Gopalakrishna, aged 29 years;

8.B Koteswara Rao S/o Papadu, aged 27 years;

9.K Adibabu S/o Sanyasi Rao, aged 29 years;

10.B.Durga Rao S/o Apparao, aged 28 years;

11.K Satti Babu S/o Bullayya, aged 29 years;

12.Hamd Khan S/o Mohiddin Khan, aged 27 years;

13.K Syamala Rao S/o Appa Rao, aged 28 years;

**..... PETITIONERS**

AND

1.The A.P.TRANSCO, rep. By its Managing Director, Member Secretary, Vidyuth Soudha, Somajiguda, Hyderabad

2.The Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle,A.P.TRANSCO, Srikakulam District **.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of the writ of mandamus declaring the proceedings 1)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1857/99;2)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1856/99;3)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1855/994)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1862/99;5)Lr.No.SE/C 7)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1854/99
8)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1851/99;9)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1866/99;10)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1849/99;11)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1850/99;12)Lr.No.S AND 13)Lr.No.SE/O/SKL/Adm/A1/D.No.1861/99,dt.6.9.99 issued by the 2nd respondent is illegal, improper and consequently directing the respondents to give appointments in the suitable posts to the petitioners in the respondents board.

**Counsel for the Petitioners: SMT.A.PADMA**

**Counsel for the Respondents: MR.S.RAVINDRANATH, SC for APTRANSCO**

**The Court Made the Following :**

**ORDER**:

The petitioners claiming to be ex-casual labourers have filed this Writ petition challenging several orders of the 2nd respondent dated 6-9-1999, rejecting their cases for appointment to the posts of JLM/Typist/LD Clerk/Sub-Engineer. The second respondent has issued proceedings dated 6-9-1999, after considering the cases of the petitioners. The petitioners claims for appointment have been rejected on the ground that they do not possess the relevant technical qualifications prescribed under the service regulations for appointment of any of the posts of JLM/Typist/LD Clerk/Sub Engineer.

The only plea in the writ petition is that they are continuing as casual labourers for the last so many years and therefore, they ought to have been employed on a regular basis.

No legal pleas are asserted for invalidation of the rejection orders issued by second respondent dated 6-9-1999. There are no merits in the writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

BSS/PVSN

Assistant REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1.The Managing Direcotr/Member Secretary, A.P.TRANSCO, Vidyuth Southa Somajiguda, Hyderabad;

2.The Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle,

AP TRANSCO, Srikakulam District

3.2CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{ }*